,		JS-6
1		
2		
3		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	DIANLI LI,	Case No. 2:24-cv-03351-AB-AJR
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISMISSING ACTION GIVEN PENDING ADJUDICATION
12	V.	OF APPLICATION
13	ALEJANDRO N. MAYORKAS, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	The Court has reviewed the Parties' Stipulation to Stay Case Pending	
17 18	Adjudication of Application. Defendants have agreed to adjudicate Plaintiff's	
10	application—the relief sought by the Complaint. Rather than stay the action, Court	
20	<u>DISMISSES</u> the action without costs and without prejudice to the right to re-open the	
21	action, or seek an extension to do so, by September 1, 2025 if Defendants have not	
22	provided their decision. This Court retains full jurisdiction over this action and this	
23	Order shall not prejudice any party to this action.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	1.0-
25	Dated: June 12, 2024	nd 19
26	H \	NORABLE ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR. IITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27		TILD SIMILS DISTINCT JUDGE
28		